Volume 21

Pages 2806 - 2813

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Vince Chhabria, Judge

Defendant.

EDWARD HARDEMAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NO. C 16-00525 VC

MONSANTO COMPANY,

)

San Francisco, California

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

ANDRUS WAGSTAFF PC 7171 W. Alaska Drive Lakewood, Colorado 80226

BY: AIMEE H. WAGSTAFF, ATTORNEY AT LAW DAVID J. WOOL, ATTORNEY AT LAW

Wednesday, March 27, 2019

MOORE LAW GROUP 1473 South 4th Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40208

BY: JENNIFER MOORE, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

REPORTED BY: Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR

Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR, FCRR

Official Reporters

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONTIN	UED)
2	For Defendant	:	
3			WILKINSON WALSH ESKOVITZ LLP 2001 M Street, NW - 10th Floor
4		BY:	Washington, D.C. 20036 BRIAN L. STEKLOFF, ATTORNEY AT LAW
5		ы.	RAKESH N. KILARU, ATTORNEY AT LAW TAMARRA MATTHEWS JOHNSON, ATTORNEY AT LAW
6			JULIE RUBENSTEIN, ATTORNEY AT LAW CALI COPE-KASTEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

,			
1	<u>INDEX</u>		
2	Wednesday, March 27, 2019 - Volume 21		
3	<u>PA</u>	<u>GE</u>	<u>vor</u> .
4	Verdict 28	09	21
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

VERDICT

Wednesday - March 27, 2019 1 2:52 p.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 (Proceedings were heard out of presence of the jury:) 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. I have been told the jury has reached a verdict. You can go ahead and bring them in. 6 (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:) 7 THE COURT: Okay. Welcome back. 8 , you have continued to be 9 It looks like, the presiding juror; is that right? 10 11 Yes. I understand you have reached a verdict; 12 THE COURT: is that correct? 13 14 We have. 15 THE COURT: Why don't you hand that to Kristen. 16 (Pause in proceedings) 17 VERDICT 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. I'm going to read the 19 verdict. 20 Question 1: Did Mr. Hardeman prove by a preponderance of 21 the evidence his claim that Roundup's design was defective? Answer: Yes. 22 Question 2: Did Mr. Hardeman prove by a preponderance of 23 the evidence his claim that Roundup lacks sufficient warnings 24 of the risk of NHL? 25

VERDICT

```
1
         Answer:
                  Yes.
         Question 3: Did Mr. Hardeman prove by a preponderance of
 2
     the evidence his claim that Monsanto was negligent by not using
 3
     reasonable care to warn about Roundup's NHL risk?
 4
 5
         Answer: Yes.
         Question 4: What are Mr. Hardeman's compensatory damages?
 6
         Past economic loss, $200,967.10.
 7
          Past non-economic loss, $3,066,677.
 8
          Future economic loss, $2 million. Did I read that right?
 9
                                (No audible response).
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Question 5: Did Mr. Hardeman prove
    by clear and convincing evidence that he is entitled to
12
13
    punitive damages?
14
         Answer: Yes.
15
         Question 6: If you answered "yes" to the previous
16
     question, what amount do you award Mr. Hardeman in punitive
17
     damages?
         Answer: $75 million.
18
         Would anybody like the jury polled?
19
20
              MR. STEKLOFF: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. As we did last time, I will ask
21
22
     each one of you if that accurately reflects your unanimous
23
     verdict that you reached.
          Juror Number 1, does that accurately
24
25
     reflect the verdict that you reached?
```

VERDICT

```
Yes, it does. I would like that
1
    second number read off again because I wasn't sure if that was
 2
    read off correctly.
 3
            THE COURT: Certainly. The past non-economic loss of
 4
    3 million --
 5
                  : Yes.
 6
            THE COURT: $3,066,667.
 7
                    $3 million --
8
            THE COURT: $3,066,667. That's correct?
9
                   : That's correct. Thank you.
10
            THE COURT: The remainder of it was correct?
11
12
                    : Yes.
13
            THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 2, _____, is
    that an accurate description of the jury's unanimous verdict?
14
15
                           : Yes.
16
            THE COURT: Juror Number 3, _____, is that an
17
    accurate description of your verdict?
18
               : Yes.
19
            THE COURT: Thank you.
        And Juror Number 4, _____, is that an accurate
20
21
    reflection of your verdict?
22
                 Yes, it is.
            THE COURT: And, Juror Number 5, is that
23
    an accurate description of your verdict?
24
25
                          Yes.
```

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Okay. And Juror Number 6, _____, is that an accurate description of your verdict?

Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. I will hand the verdict form down to Kristen.

And, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you have now completed your service. Thank you very much for all the incredibly hard work you put into this over these past five weeks. It is obvious that you have been very attentive. You have taken your job very seriously, and on behalf of all the parties and everybody who is here watching this trial and on behalf of the community and the public, I thank you very much for that.

Now, you have been hearing an instruction from me over and over and over again throughout the trial which is you are not allowed to do any research. You are not allowed to talk to anybody about the trial. You are not allowed to expose yourself to any media reports. Those restrictions on you are now lifted.

You are free to talk to anybody about the case if you wish. You are also perfectly free not to talk to anybody about the case if you wish.

And if anybody is really sort of after you to talk to you about the case, and you really prefer not to talk about the case; and you are having problems keeping someone off your

PROCEEDINGS

back, you contact us right away and I can assure you that we
will intervene.

But with that, I would love the opportunity to invite you back to my chambers. First you will go back to the jury room. Kristen will spend a little time with you getting your badges and everything. After that, I would love to invite you back to my chambers and answer any questions you have about the process. We always have some questions for you about how we can make the process better for jurors. We would very much appreciate that.

With that, you are free to head back to the jury room. Thank you.

(Proceedings were heard out of presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I assume that there will be some post-trial motions coming and all of that. I will also want to have a conference call with all of you -- kind of a case management conference -- about next steps. I sort of alluded to it earlier when I was speaking with the Plaintiff's lawyer for the next trial.

Kristen will reach out to you in the next week or so -she will reach out to you to schedule a conference in the next
week or so. But until then, enjoy and I will talk to you soon.
Thank you.

MS. MOORE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings adjourned at 2:59 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Wednesday, March 27, 2019 DATE: g anderga Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR, FCRR U.S. Court Reporter Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter